Perspectives in Ecosystem Modelling

Tom Anderson National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, UK



BASIN meeting, Hamburg, 23-25 Jan 2007



National Oceanography Centre, Southampton



Aim: Identify and document the state of the art of climate-related ecosystem research in the North Atlantic and associated shelf seas.



Models "must concentrate the biological resolution at the level of the species or trophic level of interest"

From Report of the first BASIN workshop, Reykjavik, 11-15 March 2005



Need to consider:phytoplankton group compositioneffects on zooplankton productionhigher trophic levels, e.g. planktivorous fish

trophic linkages



"impossible to provide high resolution for all species involved in the ecosystem"

From Peter Wiebe's talk yesterday





Adding complexity: problems







Aggregation





Lack of validation data



All in the interactions



Frequency of coccolithophore blooms



Le Quéré et al. (2006)

Levins (1966) distinguished between:



realism (complexity)



generality

Levins (1966) "The strategy of model building in population biology" American Scientist 54, 421-431.

уД 25

20-

15

10

-1.07 + 2.74x

10

accuracy



Throwing everything but the kitchen sink into models is an unreliable option



Rhomboid Approach

The rhomboids indicate the conceptual characteristics for models with different species and differing areas of primary focus.

Rhomboid is broadest where model has its greatest functional complexity i.e., at the level of the target Organism.

deYoung et al, 2004



NPZD models are able to capture basic variability in chl, primary production

NPZD models "are generally able to accurately simulate seasonal cycles of plankton variables in specific ocean areas. However, their generality across ocean basins and their ability to represent spatial and temporal variability are limited"

Quote from Report of the first BASIN workshop, Reykjavik, 11-15 March 2005

DGOM vs NPZD comparison





(QUEST)



Physics



1 degree

1/4 degree







(Popova et al., 2006)

"Small changes in physical forcing fields can produce greater changes in plankton distributions than substantial changes in ecosystem model complexity" (Friedrichs et al., 2006)

Friedrichs et al. (2006). Deep-Sea Res. II 53, 576-600.



silicate

diatoms





Complex models may be usefully applied to regional domains











Basin scale models: concentrate complexity at trophic levels of interest

But how to do it?









Empirical approaches

Example: Moore et al. (2002) made calcification 5% of the photosynthesis by small phytoplankton.

"At present it is not possible to model dynamically or predict calcite formation in the ocean"

Moore, K.J. et al. (2002). Deep-Sea Res. II 49, 403-462.





Let's not forget the interactions!







production = gross growth efficiency * intake











Quantification of model uncertainty







US JGOFS Regional Ecosystem Testbed Project

Marjorie Friedrichs

Arabian Sea Process Study (ASPS), Antarctic Environment and Southern Ocean Process Study (AESOPS), Equatorial Pacific Process Study (EqPac), second iron enrichment experiment (IronExII), Bermuda Atlantic Time-Series Study (BATS), and Hawaii Ocean Time-series (HOT)



Conclusions

- Adding complexity ad infinitum to models won't work. Complexity should be concentrated at trophic levels of interest, but care must be exercised to ensure that interactions with other trophic levels are adequately represented, and system feedbacks of interest represented.
- NPZD models do a generally good job of simulating bulk properties, e.g. chl, primary production; attention to physics and model forcing is important.
- Adding additional complexity, with robust parameterisations, poses a major challenge for the modelling community.